



CO-RELATION BETWEEN FRUIT, SEED AND ELEVATED CO₂ IN COTTON & TUR

P A Lambat¹, A P Lambat² V S Dongre² and K J Cherian³

¹ Shri Mathuradas Mohota College of Science, Nagpur, M.S., India.

² Sevadal Mahila Mahavidyalaya & Research Academy, Nagpur, M.S., India.

³ D R B Sindhu Mahavidyalaya, Nagpur, M.S., India.

Corresponding Author lambatashish@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

There is a significant impact of elevated CO₂ on the N concentration of plant tissues and present data to support the hypothesis that reductions in the quality of plant tissue commonly occur when plants are grown under elevated CO₂. To study response of plants in high CO₂ and other gas in environment with precise control and regulation of desired CO₂, temperature and humidity inside the Open Top Chambers. Open Top Chambers is an innovative and cost effective approach to investigate effects of elevated CO₂, Temperature and humidity on the growth dynamics and yield response of plants. In this approach CO₂ gas is supplied to the chambers through CO₂ gas cylinders and maintained at the set levels using manifold gas regulators, pressure pipelines, solenoid valves, sampler, pump, CO₂ analyser, PC linked supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA). The different conditions used for the experiment are as follows: AMB: Ambient Outside, OTC1: Ambient CO₂ (Control), OTC2: Elevated CO₂ (450ppm) + Ambient Temp, OTC3: Elevated CO₂ (500 ppm) + Ambient Temp, OTC4: Elevated CO₂ (550ppm) + Ambient Temp. Thus, on the basis of the study results, it is concluded that there is noticeable change in the number of fruits on Cotton, and Tur crops in the study area.

Keywords: OTC Elevated CO₂, Ambient, Cotton, Tur.

INTRODUCTION:

At the beginning of the 21st century, we are faced with a rapid change in the environment. Greenhouse forcing is expected to alter temperature and rainfall patterns and atmospheric CO₂ concentration will continue to increase for an extended period of time. There can be no doubt that under future conditions, agro-ecosystems and their management will substantially differ from today and potential ozone impacts in the agricultural sector will depend largely on changes in other factors. Hence, the evaluation of future trends in ozone impacts cannot rely solely on trends in ground-level ozone concentrations predicted by atmospheric global climate change, caused by increased emissions of greenhouse gases, is likely to affect agroecosystems in many ways, but the outcome, for instance, as a shift in productivity, depends on the combined effects of climate (temperature, precipitation) and other global change components. Once environment and diseases are closely related, climate change will probably alter the geographical and temporal distribution of phytosanitary

problems. The host plant agroclimatic zoning for coffee will be altered, as showed by Assad *et al.* (2004); likewise, pathogens and other microorganisms related to the disease process will be affected. Therefore, new diseases may arise in certain regions and other diseases may cease to be economically important, especially if the host plant migrates into new areas (Coakley *et al.* 1999).

Disease simulation models frequently used for forecasting can be utilized in simulation studies of spatial and temporal distribution in future climate scenarios. For vector-transmitted pathogens the risk analysis may include the effects of climate change on the vector population, as discussed by Harrington (2002) for the barley yellow dwarf disease.

Using mathematical models, Carter *et al.* (1996) simulated climate change in Finland and concluded that warming will expand the cropping area for cereals by 2050 (100 to 150 linear km per Celsius degree increase in mean annual temperature); furthermore, higher yields are expected with higher CO₂ concentration. In this scenario, potato cropping

will also be benefited with an estimated 20 to 30% increase in yield.

Thomas and Strain (1991) reported that the main effect of CO₂ enrichment was to triple the number of branches and to increase total branch length six times. Enhanced and accelerated branching also increased total leaf area 50% at elevated CO₂ concentrations.

Atmospheric CO₂ is the sole carbon source for plants. Current levels of CO₂ limit CO₂ assimilation in C₃ crops and increasing CO₂ concentrations up to 800–1000 ppm stimulate photosynthesis (Amthor, 2001). However, stimulation of photosynthesis does not directly translate in increased biomass, or yield. In determinate crops such as cereals, grain yield not only depends on photosynthesis but also on the length of the active phase of leaf photosynthesis and the sink capacity of the grains. Fangmeier *et. al.* (2000) found that in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*, L.), elevated CO₂ increased the nitrogen (N) sink capacity of the grains in combination with accelerated flag leaf senescence, which, in turn, reduced the length of the period of photosynthetic carbon acquisition.

Cotrufo *et. al.*, (1998) studied the impacts of elevated CO₂ on the N concentration of plant tissues and present data to support the hypothesis that reductions in the quality of plant tissue commonly occur when plants are grown under elevated CO₂. Synthesis of existing data showed an average 14% reduction of N concentrations in plant tissue generated under elevated CO₂ regimes.

MATERIAL METHODS:

To study response of plants in high CO₂ and other gas in environment with precise control and regulation of desired CO₂, temperature and humidity inside the Open Top Chambers. Open Top Chambers is an innovative and cost effective approach to investigate effects of elevated CO₂, Temperature and humidity on the growth dynamics and yield response of plants. In this approach CO₂

gas is supplied to the chambers through CO₂ gas cylinders and maintained at the set levels using manifold gas regulators, pressure pipelines, solenoid valves, sampler, pump, CO₂ analyser, PC linked supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA). The data generated by Open Top Chambers are more realistic for impact assessment analysis of rising atmospheric CO₂ and temperature on plants for developing models to predict the responses for future climatic conditions. The accuracy of the result depends on the system adopted and its maintenance of the required CO₂ levels with near natural and variable conditions for other parameters. Four units of Open Top Chambers for elevated CO₂ study were available with high quality multi-layered polycarbonated sheets (4mm thickness) of 3X3X4 mt. dimensions with GI/MS structure with proper foundation and grouting. A suitable door of 6X3 ft size is provided in each chamber. Multi-layered clear polycarbonate sheet with 80-85% light transmission level is used for Open Top Chamber structure. Flat and angle aluminium and rust free screws are used for mounting of polycarbonate sheet. Welding at four corners and inclination of 30 degree at the top is provided to protect against high winds and moderate vibrations. Sealing of Open Top Chamber is achieved using aluminium angles plates at the top, corners and centre along with gaskets. Door is sealed using U type gaskets with overlapping of sheets to prevent loss of CO₂. The different conditions used for the experiment are as follows

AMB: Ambient Outside

OTC1: Ambient CO₂ (Control)

OTC2: Elevated CO₂ (450ppm) + Ambient Temp

OTC3: Elevated CO₂ (500 ppm) + Ambient Temp

OTC4: Elevated CO₂ (550ppm) + Ambient Temp

All the data generated was analysed using appropriate statistical tests with the help of PASW 18.0 software (formerly known as SPSS 18.0). The descriptive statistics like mean, standard deviation,

minimum, maximum, etc. were determined from the raw data. The inferential statistics such as one way ANOVA was used to test the difference in the means obtained as a function of different treatments. Moreover, the association between different categorical variables was assessed using Chi-Square test. The significance level was chosen to be 0.05 (or equivalently, 5%) by keeping in view the consequences of such an error. That is, we want to make the significance level as small as possible in order to protect the null hypothesis and to prevent, as far as possible, from inadvertently arriving at false conclusions.

RESULT & DISCUSSION :

The average weight of fruits on the cotton crop plant in the study area was presented in Table 1. The average impact of the elevated CO₂ concentration on the number of fruits on the cotton plant under the ambient OTC is 4.6±0.5 and ambient field is 4.4±0.4. However, the weight of fruit on the cotton plants exposed to elevated CO₂ i.e. 450ppm was observed to be 4.0±0.7, for 500 ppm it was 3.7±0.9 and for 550ppm it was 3.2±0.2. Although there appears to be a decreasing trend in the weight of the fruit, the difference was statistically not significant. Thus, on the basis of the study results, it is concluded that there is noticeable change in the weight of fruits of cotton crop when exposed to higher CO₂ levels (Fig. 1). Table 2 presents results regarding the average weight of 100 seeds of Tur. The average weight of Tur seeds (100 seeds) obtained under the ambient OTC is 3.5±1.3 and ambient field is 3.1±1.1. The weight of Tur seeds observed when the cultivation was done at CO₂ levels 450, 500 and 550 ppm was 2.8±0.4, 2.6±0.2 and 2.8±0.3 respectively. The results indicated that there is a significant difference (<0.05) in the seed weight observed as a function of varying CO₂ concentration. Thus, on the basis of the study results, it is concluded that there is noticeable change in the weight of Tur seeds with respect to CO₂ conc (Fig. 2).

CONCLUSION :

Thus, on the basis of the study results, it is concluded that there is noticeable change in the number of fruits on Cotton, and Tur crops in the study area.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Researchers are thankful to Dr. K D Thakur, HOD, Plant Pathology for his generous help to conduct experiment in OTC chamber and Field of Dr.P D K V's College of Agriculture.

REFERENCE :

- Assad, E.D.; Pinto, H.S.; Zullo Júnior, J. And Ávila, A.M.H. (2004), Impacto das mudanças climáticas no zoneamento agroclimático do café no Brasil. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, 39: 1057-1064.
- Coakley, S.M., Scherm, H. and Chakraborty, S. (1999), Climate change and plant disease management. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **37**:399-426.
- Harrington, R. B, (2002), Barley yellow dwarf disease; recent advances and future strategies. *CIMMYT*;:34-39
- Carter, T.R.; Saarikko, R.A. and Niemi, K.J. (1996), Assessing the risks and uncertainties of regional crop potential under a changing climate in Finland. *Agricultural and Food Science in Finland*, 5, 329-350.
- Thomas R.B. and Strain B.R. (1991), Root restriction as a factor in photosynthetic acclimation of cotton seedlings grown in elevated CO₂. *Plant Physiol* 96:627-634
- Amthor, J.S., (2001), Effects of atmospheric CO₂ concentration on wheat yield: review of results from experiments using various approaches to control CO₂ concentration. *Field Crops Res.* 73:1-34.
- Fangmeier, A., Chrost, B., Hogy, P. and Krupinska, K., (2000), CO₂ enrichment enhances flag leaf senescence in barley due to greater grain nitrogen sink capacity. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 44: 151-164.
- Cotrufo, M. Francesca, Ineson, Phil and Andy Scott (1998), Elevated CO₂ reduces the nitrogen concentration of plant tissues, *Global Change Biology*, 43-54.

Table 1 : Impact of the elevated CO₂ concentrations. on the weight of cotton fruits

Cotton	CO ₂ (ppm)	N	Mean (gm)	SD	SE	Min.	Max.	F	Sig.
Weight of fruit	Ambient OTC	10	4.6	±0.5	0.2	4.2	5.1	.249	.783
	Ambient Field	10	4.4	±0.4	0.1	3.9	4.9		
	450	10	4.0	±0.7	0.3	2.8	4.5		
	500	10	3.7	±0.9	0.4	2.5	4.5		
	550	10	3.2	±0.2	0.1	3.5	4.0		

SD: Standard Deviation; SE: Standard Error; Min.: Minimum; Max.: Maximum




Fig. 1: Average weight of fruits on the cotton crop plant exposed to elevated CO₂ concentrations.

Table 2: Information about the weight of Tur 100 seeds in the study area.

Tur	CO ₂ (ppm)	N	Mean	SD	SE	Min.	Max.	F	Sig.
Weight of 100 seeds	Ambient OTC	10	3.5	±1.3	0.5	3.2	3.9	2.412	<0.05
	Ambient Field	10	3.1	±1.1	0.4	2.7	3.5		
	450	10	2.8	±0.4	0.06	2.4	3.1		
	500	10	2.6	±0.2	0.04	2.6	3.4		
	550	10	2.1	±0.3	0.02	3.3	3.9		




Fig. 2: The average weight of Tur 100 seeds in the study area